Concomitant Polymorphs Exhibiting Differences in the Halogen Bonding Contacts

<u>Rajesh G. Gonnade</u>^a, Aditya K. Sanki^b, Mysore S. Shashidhar^b, Mohan M. Bhadbhade^a, ^aCenter for Materials Characterization. ^bDivision of Organic Synthesis, National Chemical Laboratory, Pune, India. E-mail: rajesh@sil.ncl.res.in

The 'halogen bonding' interactions are receiving increasing attention due to their potential applications in crystal engineering and host-guest chemistry.¹ The C-halogen...O bonding is comparable in energy with the conventional H-bonding interactions.² Tri-O-p-halobenzoyl-myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthoformates (halo = chloro (1), bromo (2)) produced concomitant polymorphs when crystallized from a number of solvents. Crystals (of 2 from chloroform) of Form I (Triclinic, P-1) were large octahedral blocks whereas Form II (Monoclinic, C2/c) were long, thin whiskers. Although common interactions such as Br...Br and C-H...O exist in both the forms, notable differences were seen in the halogen bonding contacts. Form I makes C-Br...O=C whereas Form II shows C-Br...O-C (orthoformate bridge) contacts. The Br...O distances are less than the sum of their van der Waals radii (3.173 Å in Form I & 3.027 Å in Form II) with better linearity in Form I (C-Br...O 173.8°) than in Form II (163.4°). To examine the propensity of the C-halogen...O contacts with differently hybridized O atoms, a brief survey of CCDC carried out showed better directionality of halogen bonds involving carbonyl oxygen than ether O atoms.

[1] a) Metrangolo P., Resnati G., *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2001, 7, 2511; b) Sureshan K.
M., Gonnade R. G., Puranik V. G., Shashidhar M. S., Bhadbhade M. M., *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 881. [2] Valerio G., Raos G., Meille S. V., Metrangolo P., Resnati G., *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2000, 104, 1617.

Keywords: halogen bonding, polymorphism, inositol